State: Nevada Date of Plan: August 26, 2007

#### Instructions for Using the Plan Review Crosswalk for Review of Standard State Hazard Mitigation Plans

Attached is a Plan Review Crosswalk based on the *Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000*, published by FEMA, with revisions dated November 2006. This Plan Review Crosswalk is consistent with the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000* (P.L. 106-390), enacted October 30, 2000 and *44 CFR Part 201 – Mitigation Planning, Interim Final Rule* (the Rule), published February 26, 2002.

#### SCORING SYSTEM

- N Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.
- S Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of a requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a summary score of "Satisfactory." A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing.

Optional matrices for assisting in the review of sections on profiling hazards and assessing vulnerability are found at the end of the Plan Review Crosswalk.

The example below illustrates how to fill in the Plan Review Crosswalk.

#### **Example**

#### Assessing Vulnerability by Jurisdiction

**Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(ii):** [The State risk assessment **shall** include an] overview and analysis of the State's vulnerability to the hazards described in this paragraph (c)(2), based on estimates provided in local risk assessments ... . The State **shall** describe vulnerability in terms of the jurisdictions most threatened by the identified hazards, and most vulnerable to damage and loss associated with hazard event.

	Location in the		SCC	ORE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S
Does the plan describe the State's vulnerability based on information from the local risk assessments?	Section III, pp. 12- 28	The plan includes a description of local vulnerable structures. The plan presented a vulnerability summary by regions in the state. This information was collected from the approved plans on file.		<b>✓</b>
B. Does the plan present information on those jurisdictions that face the most risk?	Section III, pp. 30-36	<ul> <li>The vulnerability description did not indicate which jurisdictions were the most vulnerable.</li> <li>Required Revisions: <ul> <li>Use the information provided in the summaries to determine which jurisdictions are most threatened by the identified hazards.</li> <li>Identify which jurisdictions have suffered or are likely to suffer the most losses.</li> <li>If data are not readily available, note these data limitations in the plan. Include actions in the mitigation strategy to obtain these data for the plan update.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>√</b>	
		SUMMARY SCORE	✓	

# **APPENDIX G**

Standard State Hazard Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

State Point of Contact: Elizabeth Ashby	Address: 2478 Fairview Drive Carson City, NV 89701
Title:	
State Hazard Mitigation Officer	
Agency:	
DPS/Division of Emergency Management	
Phone Number:	E-Mail:
(775) 687-0314	eashby@dps.state.nv.us

FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region [Insert #]		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approved		
Date Approved		

#### **APPENDIX G**

#### STANDARD STATE HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN SUMMARY CROSSWALK

The plan cannot be approved if the plan has not been formally adopted.

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of the requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a score of "Satisfactory." Elements of each requirement are listed on the following pages of the Plan Review Crosswalk. A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a "Needs Improvement" score.

#### **SCORING SYSTEM**

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

- **N Needs Improvement:** The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.
- **S Satisfactory:** The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Prerequisite	NOTMET	MEI
Adoption by the State: §201.4(c)(6) and §201.4(c)(7)		
Planning Process	N	s
Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.4(c)(1)		
Coordination Among Agencies: §201.4(b)		
Program Integration: §201.4(b)		
Risk Assessment	N	s
Identifying Hazards: §201.4(c)(2)(i)		
Profiling Hazards: §201.4(c)(2)(i)		
Assessing Vulnerability by Jurisdiction: §201.4(c)(2)(ii)		
Assessing Vulnerability of State Facilities: §201.4(c)(2)(ii)		
Estimating Potential Losses by Jurisdiction: §201.4(c)(2)(iii)		
Estimating Potential Losses of State Facilities: §201.4(c)(2)(iii)		

		IN	3	
	Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.4(c)(3)(i)			
	State Capability Assessment: §201.4(c)(3)(ii)			
	Local Capability Assessment: §201.4(c)(3)(ii)			
	Mitigation Actions: §201.4(c)(3)(iii)			
	Funding Sources: §201.4(c)(3)(iv)			
	Coordination of Local Mitigation Planning	N	s	
	Local Funding and Technical Assistance: §201.4(c)(4)(i)			
	Local Plan Integration: §201.4(c)(4)(ii)			
	Prioritizing Local Assistance: §201.4(c)(4)(iii)			
	Plan Maintenance Process	N	s	
	Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan: §201.4(c)(5)(i)			
	Monitoring Progress of Mitigation Activities: §201.4(c)(5)(ii) and (iii)			
	STANDARD STATE HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN	I APPROVAI	L STATUS	
PLAN NOT APPROVED				
		PLAN A	PPROVED	

See Reviewer's Comments

Mitigation Strategy

# APPENDIX G PREREQUISITE

#### Adoption by the State

**Requirement §201.4(c)(6):** The plan **must** be formally adopted by the State prior to submittal to [FEMA] for final review and approval.

**Requirement §201.4(c)(7):** The plan **must** include assurances that the State will comply with all applicable Federal statutes and regulations in effect with respect to the periods for which it receives grant funding, in compliance with 44 CFR 13.11(c). The State will amend its plan whenever necessary to reflect changes in State or Federal laws and statutes as required in 44 CFR 13.11(d).

	Location in the		SCC	DRE
	Plan (section or		NOT	
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	MET	MET
A. Has the State formally adopted the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Section 1.1			
	Page 1-1			
	Appendix A			
B. Does the plan provide assurances that the State will	Section 1.2.3			
continue to comply with all applicable Federal statutes and	Page 1-9			
regulations during the periods for which it receives grant				
funding, in compliance with 44 CFR 13.11(c), and will amend				
its plan whenever necessary to reflect changes in State or				
Federal laws and statutes as required in 44 CFR 13.11(d)?				
		SUMMARY SCORE		

**PLANNING PROCESS:** §201.4(b): An effective planning process is essential in developing and maintaining a good plan.

# **Documentation of the Planning Process**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(1):** [The State plan **must** include a] description of the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how other agencies participated.

	Location in the		SCC	)RE
	Plan (section or		N	6
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	IN	0
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of how the <b>new</b>	Section 2.1			
or updated plan was prepared?	Page 2-1			
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan indicate who was involved in	Section 2.1.1			
the current planning process?	Page 2-2			
C. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan indicate how other agencies	Section 2.1.2			
participated in the current planning process?	Page 2-5			
D. Does the updated plan document how the planning team	Section 2.1.3			
reviewed and analyzed each section of the plan?	Page 2-5			
E. Does the updated plan indicate for each section whether	Section 2.1.4			
or not it was revised as part of the update process?	Page 2-6			

Α	P	Ρ	Ε	Ν	D	IX	G
Α	P	Ρ	E	Ν	D	IX	G

SUMMARY SCORE		
---------------	--	--

#### **Coordination Among Agencies**

**Requirement §201.4(b):** The [State] mitigation planning process **should** include coordination with other State agencies, appropriate Federal agencies, interested groups, and .... Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.

		Location in the		SCC	ORE
		Plan (section or		N	e
Ele	ment	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	IN	0
A.	Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe how Federal and State	Section 2.2.1			
	agencies were involved in the current planning process?	Page 2-7			
B.	Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe how interested groups	Section 2.2.2			
	(e.g., businesses, non-profit organizations, and other interested	Page 2-14			
	parties) were involved in the current planning process?				
	C. Does the updated plan discuss how coordination among	Section 2.2.3			
	Federal and State agencies changed since approval of the	Page 2-14			
	previous plan?				
			SUMMARY SCORE		

## **Program Integration**

**Requirement §201.4(b):** [The State mitigation planning process **should**] be integrated to the extent possible with other ongoing State planning efforts as well as other FEMA mitigation programs and initiatives. Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.

	Location in the		SCC	)RE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	s
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe how the State mitigation planning process is integrated with other ongoing State planning efforts?.	Section 2.3.1 Page 2-16			
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe how the State mitigation planning process is integrated with FEMA mitigation programs and initiatives?	Section 2.3.2 Page 2-22			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

**RISK ASSESSMENT:**  $\S 201.4(c)(2)$ : [The State plan must include a risk assessment] that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy portion of the mitigation plan. Statewide risk assessments must characterize and analyze natural hazards and risks to provide a statewide overview. This overview will allow the State to compare potential losses throughout the State and to determine their priorities for implementing mitigation measures under the strategy, and to prioritize jurisdictions for receiving technical and financial support in developing more detailed local risk and vulnerability assessments.

SCORE

State: Nevada Date of Plan: October 2007

## **APPENDIX G**

# **Identifying Hazards**

Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(i): [The State risk assessment shall include an] overview of the type ... of all natural hazards that can affect the State ....

	Location in the		SCO	ORE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a description of the type of <b>all natural hazards</b> that can affect the State? If the hazard identification omits (without explanation) any hazards commonly recognized as threats to the State, this part of the plan cannot receive a Satisfactory score.	Section 3.1 Page 3-1 Section 3.2 Page 3-4			х
		SUMMARY SCORE		

# **Profiling Hazards**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(i):** [The State risk assessment **shall** include an overview of the] location of all natural hazards that can affect the State, including information on previous occurrences of hazard events, as well as the probability of future hazard events, using maps where appropriate ....

Location in the

	Location in the				30	UKE
	Plan (section or				N	S
Element	annex and page #)	Review	er's Comments			
A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (i.e., geographic area affected) of each natural hazards addressed in the new or updated plan?	Section 3.3.1 Page 3-11 to Section 3.3.20 Page 3-156	3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.9 3.3.10 3.3.11 3.3.12 3.3.13	Avalanche Dam Failure Drought Earthquake Epidemic Expansive Soils Extreme Heat Floods Hail/Thunderstorms Hazardous Materials Infestations Land Subsidence Landslides Severe Winter Storms Terrorism/WMD Tornadoes Tsunamis/Seiche Volcano Wildfire	Page 3-13 Page 3-15 Page 3-19 Page 3-29 Page 3-54 Page 3-59 Page 3-62 Page 3-70 Page 3-95 Page 3-110 Page 3-113 Page 3-115 Page 3-117 Page 3-125 Page 3-128 Page 3-131 Page 3-134 Page 3-138		
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide information on <b>previous occurrences</b> of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Section 3.3.1 to 3.3.20	3.3.20 Same a	Windstorms as Above	Page 3-156		

APPENDIX G			
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include the <b>probability of future events</b> (i.e., chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the plan?	Section 3.3.1 to 3.3.20	Same as Above	
		SUMMARY SCORE	

# **Assessing Vulnerability**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(ii):** [The State risk assessment **shall** include an] overview and analysis of the State's vulnerability to the hazards described in this paragraph (c)(2), based on estimates provided in local risk assessments as well as the State risk assessment. The State **shall** describe vulnerability in terms of the jurisdictions most threatened by the identified hazards, and most vulnerable to damage and loss associated with hazard events. State owned critical or operated facilities located in the identified hazard areas shall also be addressed.

Requirement §201.4(d): Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development...

# **Assessing Vulnerability by Jurisdiction**

		Location in the		S	
Element		Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	s
vuln	es the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the State's nerability based on estimates provided in local risk essments as well as the State risk assessment?	Section 3.4.1 Page 3-167 Section 3.4.2 Page 3-167			
vuln and	es the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the State's nerability in terms of the jurisdictions most threatened most vulnerable to damage and loss associated with ard event(s)?	Section 3.4.3 Page 3-173			
anal	es the updated plan explain the process used to lyze the information from the local risk essments, as necessary?	Section 3.3.4.4 Earthquake, Page 3-44 Section 3.3.8.4 Flood and Dam Safety Page 3-85 Section 3.3.19.4 Wildfire Page 154			
	es the updated plan reflect changes in development jurisdictions in hazard prone areas?	Section 3.1 Page 3-2			
			SUMMAR	RY SCORE	

**SCORE** 

State: Nevada Date of Plan: October 2007

## **APPENDIX G**

# **Assessing Vulnerability of State Facilities**

		Location in the			SCC	ORE	
		Plan (section or				N	٥
Elemen		annex and page #)	Reviewer's Com	ments		IN	3
A.	Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the types of State	Section 3.5.1	Section 3.5.3.1	Earthquake	Page 179		
	owned or operated critical facilities located in the identified	Page 3-177	Section 3.5.3.2	Flood * Dam Failure	Page 180		
	hazard areas?		Section 3.5.3.3	Wildfire	Page 180		
					SUMMARY SCORE		

#### **Estimating Potential Losses**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(iii):** [The State risk assessment **shall** include an] overview and analysis of potential losses to the identified vulnerable structures, based on estimates provided in local risk assessments as well as the State risk assessment. The State **shall** estimate the potential dollar losses to State owned or operated buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas.

Location in the

Requirement §201.4(d): Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development...

#### **Estimating Potential Losses by Jurisdiction**

	Location in the		30	UKE
	Plan (section or		N	S
ment	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	14	
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan present an overview and	Section 3.3.4.4			
analysis of the potential losses to the identified vulnerable	Earthquake,			
structures?	Page 3-44			
	Section 3.3.8.4			
	Flood and Dam			
	Safety			
	Page 3-85			
	Section 3.3.19.4			
	Wildfire			
	Page 154			
Are the potential losses based on estimates provided in	Section 3.3.4.4			
local risk assessments as well as the State risk	Earthquake,			
assessment?	Page 3-44			
	Section 3.3.8.4			
	Flood and Dam			
	Safety			
	Page 3-85 Section 3.3.19.4			
	Wildfire			
Does the undeted plan reflect the effects of changes in	Page 154???			1
Does the updated plan reflect the effects of changes in development on loss estimates?	Section 3.1			
development on loss estimates?	Page 3-2			<u> </u>

## **APPENDIX G**

## **Estimating Potential Losses of State Facilities**

	Location in the			SCO	DRE	
	Plan (section or				l N	s
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comr	ments			
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan present an estimate of the	Section 3.5.1	Section 3.5.3.1	Earthquake	Page 179		
potential dollar losses to State owned or operated buildings,	Page 3-177	Section 3.5.3.2	Flood * Dam Failure	Page 180		
infrastructure, and critical facilities in the identified hazard		Section 3.5.3.3	Wildfire	Page 180		
areas?						
				CUMMA DV COORE		
				SUMMARY SCORE		ļ l

**MITIGATION STRATEGY:**  $\S 201.4(c)(3)$  [To be effective the plan must include a] Mitigation Strategy that provides the State's blueprint for reducing the losses identified in the risk assessment.

# **Hazard Mitigation Goals**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(3)(i):** [The State mitigation strategy **shall** include a] description of State goals to guide the selection of activities to mitigate and reduce potential losses.

Requirement §201.4(d): Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development, progress in statewide mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities...

	Location in the	Location in the		
Florent	Plan (section or	Paritiments Comments	N	S
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments		
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a description of	Section 4.1			
State mitigation <b>goals</b> that guide the selection of mitigation	Page 4-2			
activities?				
A. Does the updated plan demonstrate that the goals were	Section 4.1.3			
assessed and either remain valid or have been revised?	Page 4-13			
		SUMMARY SCORE	:	

#### **APPENDIX G**

**State Capability Assessment** Requirement §201.4(c)(3)(ii): [The State mitigation strategy shall include a] discussion of the State's pre-and post-disaster hazard management policies, programs, and capabilities to mitigate the hazards in the area, including: an evaluation of State laws, regulations, policies, and programs related to hazard mitigation as well as to development in hazard-prone areas [and] a discussion of State funding capabilities for hazard mitigation projects ....

	Location in the		SCO	DRE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include an evaluation of the State's <b>pre-disaster</b> hazard management policies, programs, and capabilities?	Section 4.2.1 Page 4-16			
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include an evaluation of the State's <b>post-disaster</b> hazard management policies, programs, and capabilities?	Section 4.2.4 Page 4-38			
A. Does the new or updated plan include an evaluation of the State's policies related to development in hazard prone areas?	Section 4.2.5 Page 4-28			
D. Does the new or updated plan include a discussion of State funding capabilities for hazard mitigation projects?	Section 4.2.6 Page 4-38			
B. Does the updated plan address any hazard management capabilities of the State that have changed since approval of the previous plan?	Section 4.2.7 Page 4-41			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

# **Local Capability Assessment**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(3)(ii):** [The State mitigation strategy **shall** include] a general description and analysis of the effectiveness of local mitigation policies, programs, and capabilities.

. •	Location in the		SC	ORE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	s
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan present a general description of the local mitigation policies, programs, and capabilities?	Section 4.3.1 Page 4-44			
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a general analysis of the effectiveness of local mitigation policies, programs, and capabilities?	Section 4.3.2 Page 4-47			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

# **Mitigation Actions**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(3)(iii):** [State plans **shall** include an] identification, evaluation, and prioritization of cost-effective, environmentally sound, and technically feasible mitigation actions and activities the State is considering and an explanation of how each activity contributes to the overall mitigation strategy. This section **should** be linked to local plans, where specific local actions and projects are identified.

Requirement §201.4(d): Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development, progress in statewide mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities...

# **APPENDIX G**

	Location in the		SCC	DRE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify cost-effective, environmentally sound, and technically feasible mitigation actions and activities the State is considering?	Section 4.4.1 Page 4-50 Appendix J			
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan evaluate these actions and activities?	Section 4.4.2 Page 4-51 Appendix J			
C. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan prioritize these actions and activities?	Section 4.4.3 Page 4-53 Appendix J			
D. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan explain how each activity contributes to the overall State mitigation strategy?	Section 4.4.4 Page 4-55 Appendix J			
E. Does the mitigation strategy in the new or updated section reflect actions and projects identified in local plans?	Section 4.4.5 Page 4-55 Appendix J			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

# **Funding Sources**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(3)(iv):** [The State mitigation strategy **shall** include an] identification of current and potential sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding to implement mitigation activities.

	Location in the		SCC	ORE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify <b>current</b> sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding to implement mitigation activities?	Section 4.5.1 Page 4-77			
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify <b>potential</b> sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding to implement mitigation activities?	Section 4.5.2 Page 4-78			
B. Does the updated plan identify the sources of mitigation funding used to implement activities in the mitigation strategy since approval of the previous plan?	Section 4.5.3 Page 4-78			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

#### **APPENDIX G**

#### **Local Funding and Technical Assistance**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(4)(i):** [The section on the Coordination of Local Mitigation Planning **must** include a] description of the State process to support, through funding and technical assistance, the development of local mitigation plans.

	Location in the			ORE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	s
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a description of the State process to support, through funding and technical assistance, the development of local mitigation plans?	Section 5.1.1 Page 5-1			
B. Does the updated plan describe the funding and technical assistance the State has provided in the past three years to assist local jurisdictions in completing approvable mitigation plans?	Section 5.1.2 Page 5-5			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

#### **Local Plan Integration**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(4)(ii):** [The section on the Coordination of Local Mitigation Planning **must** include a] description of the State process and timeframe by which the local plans will be reviewed, coordinated, and linked to the State Mitigation Plan.

Requirement §201.4(d): Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development, progress in statewide mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities...

•	Location in the		SC	
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	s
Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a description of the <b>process and timeframe</b> the State established to <b>review</b> local plans?	Section 5.2.1 Page 5-9			
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a description of the <b>process and timeframe</b> the State established to <b>coordinate and link</b> local plans to the State Mitigation Plan?	Section 5.2.2 Page 5-9			
		SUMMARY SCOP	RE	

## **Prioritizing Local Assistance**

**Requirement §201.4(c)(4)(iii):** [The section on the Coordination of Local Mitigation Planning **must** include] criteria for prioritizing communities and local jurisdictions that would receive planning and project grants under available funding programs, which **should** include consideration for communities with the highest risks, repetitive loss properties, and most intense development pressures.

Further, that for non-planning grants, a principal criterion for prioritizing grants shall be the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost

## **APPENDIX G**

benefit review of proposed projects and their associated costs.

Requirement §201.4(d): Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development, progress in statewide mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities...

	Location in the		SCO	ORE
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan provide a description of the criteria for prioritizing those communities and local jurisdictions that would receive planning and project grants under available mitigation funding programs?	Section 5.3.1 Page 5-10			
B. For the new or updated plan, do the prioritization criteria include, for non-planning grants, the consideration of the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of proposed projects and their associated cost?	Section 5.3.2 Page 5-14			
C. For the new or updated plan, do the criteria include considerations for communities with the highest risk?	Section 5.3.3 Page 5-14	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.		
D. For the new or updated plan, do the criteria include considerations for repetitive loss properties?	Section 5.3.4 Page 5-14	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.		
E. For the new or updated plan, do the criteria include considerations for communities with the most intense development pressures?	Section 5.3.5 Page 5-14	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.		
		SUMMARY SCORE		

#### **PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS**

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan Requirement §201.4(c)(5)(i): [The Standard State Plan Maintenance Process must include an] established method and schedule for monitoring, evaluating, and updating the plan.

	Location in the				
		Plan (section or		N	9
Element		annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	IN	3
A. Does the new or upd	ated plan describe the method and	Section 6.1.1			
	ng the plan? (e.g., identifies the party	Page 6-1			
responsible for monit	oring, includes schedule for reports, site				
visits, phone calls, an	d/or meetings)				
	ated plan describe the method and	Section 6.1.1			
schedule for evaluati	ng the plan? (e.g., identifies the party	Page 6-1			
	ating the plan, includes the criteria used to	Section 6.1.3			
	State Hazard Mitigation Forum	Page 6-2			
C. Does the new or upd	ated plan describe the method and	Section 6.1.1			
schedule for updating	g the plan?	Page 6-1			
		Section 6.1.4			
		Page 6-3			

Α	P	P	E	N	D	IX	G
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---

and what clotherts of processes, if any, were changed:	<u>l</u>	SUMMARY SCORE	
previously approved plan's method and schedule worked, and what elements or processes, if any, were changed?	Page 6-5		
D. Does the updated plan include an analysis of whether the	Section 6.1.5		

Monitoring Progress of Mitigation Activities Requirement  $\S 201.4(c)(5)(ii)$ : [The Standard State Plan Maintenance Process must include a] system for monitoring implementation of mitigation measures and project closeouts. Requirement  $\S 201.4(c)(5)(iii)$ : [The Standard State Plan Maintenance Process must include a] system for reviewing progress on achieving goals as well as activities and projects in the Mitigation Strategy.

	Location in the	SCC	ORE	
	Plan (section or		N	S
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	.,	
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe how mitigation	Section 6.2.2			1
measures and project closeouts will be monitored?	Page 6-8			1
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify a system for reviewing	Section 6.2.3			
progress on achieving goals in the Mitigation Strategy?	Page 6-8			1
C. Does the updated plan describe any modifications, if any, to	Section 6.2.4			
the system identified in the previously approved plan to track	Page 6-9			1
the initiation, status, and completion of mitigation activities?				1
D. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify a system for reviewing	Section 6.2.5			
progress on implementing activities and projects of the Mitigation	Page 6-9			1
Strategy?				1
E. Does the updated plan discuss if mitigation actions were	Section 6.2.1	Note: Related to §201.4 (c)(3)(iii)		
implemented as planned?	Page 6-9			1
	Section 5.1.2			
	Page 5-5			
		OUMMA DV OODDE		
		SUMMARY SCORE		


#### **APPENDIX G**

# **Matrix A: Profiling Hazards**

This matrix can assist FEMA in scoring each hazard. States may find the matrix useful to ensure that their plan addresses each natural hazard that can affect the State. **Completing the matrix is not required.** 

Note: First, check which hazards are identified in requirement §201.4(c)(2)(i). Then, place a checkmark in either the N or S box for each **applicable** hazard. An "N" for any element of any identified hazard will result in a "Needs Improvement" score for this requirement. List the hazard and its related shortcoming in the comments section of the Plan Review Crosswalk.

Hazard Type	Hazards Identified Per Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(i)	A. L	ocation		evious rences	C. Probability of Future Events		
	Yes	<u>N</u>	S	N	<u> </u>	N	S	
Avalanche								
Coastal Erosion								
Coastal Storm								
Dam Failure								
Drought								
Earthquake								
Expansive Soils								
Extreme Heat		$\Box$			$\Box$		$\Box$	
Flood								
Hailstorm		一百			Ħ		$\Box$	
Hurricane					П		П	
Land Subsidence		Ħ			Ħ		$\Box$	
Landslide		Ħ	П		П			
Levee Failure		Ħ			Ħ		Ħ	
Severe Winter Storm					П		П	
Tornado		Ħ			Ħ		$\Box$	
Tsunami		Ī			Ħ		П	
Volcano		Ħ	Ħ		Ħ		Ħ	
Wildfire					П			
Windstorm		Ħ	Π	ПП	Ħ	ΙП	Ħ	
Climate Change								
Other					Ħ	ПП	一百	
Other								



#### Legend:

§201.4(c)(2)(i) Profiling Hazards

- A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (i.e., geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?
- B. Does the plan provide information on previous occurrences of each hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?
- C. Does the plan include the probability of future events (i.e., chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?


#### **APPENDIX G**

#### Matrix B: Assessing Vulnerability

This matrix can assist FEMA in scoring each hazard. States may find the matrix useful to ensure that their plan addresses each requirement. Note that this matrix only includes items for Requirements §201.4(c)(2)(ii) and §201.4(c)(2)(iii) that are related to specific natural hazards that can affect the State. **Completing the matrix is not required**.

Note: First, check which hazards are identified in requirement §201.4(c)(2)(i). Then, place a checkmark in either the N or S box for each **applicable** hazard. An "N" for any element of any identified hazard will result in a "Needs Improvement" score for this requirement. List the hazard and its related shortcoming in the comments section of the Plan Review Crosswalk.

Hazard Type	Hazards Identified Per Requirement §201.4(c)(2)(i		1. Vulnerability by Jurisdiction		2. Vulnerability to State Facilities			3. Loss Estimate by Jurisdiction		4. Loss Estimate of State Facilities	
	Yes		<u>N</u>	<u>s</u>	N	<u>s</u>		<u>N</u>	S	N_	<u>s</u>
Avalanche			Ш					Ш			
Coastal Erosion							Se				
Coastal Storm		Ϊξ					Losses				
Dam Failure		Assessing Vulnerability									
Drought		era					tial				
Earthquake		듬					en				
Expansive Soils		>					Potential				
Extreme Heat		ij.									
Flood		ess					§201.4(c)(2)(iii) Estimating				
Hailstorm		SS					Ë				
Hurricane							Est				
Land Subsidence		§201.4(c)(2)(ii)					(iii				
Landslide		÷					2)(i				
Levee Failure		4.					(C)				
Severe Winter Storm		20					.4				
Tornado		Š					201				
Tsunami							iò				
Volcano											
Wildfire											
Windstorm											
Other											
Other											
Other											



#### Legend

§201.4(c)(2)(ii) Assessing Vulnerability by Jurisdiction (see element B)

1. Does the new or updated plan describe the State's vulnerability in terms of the jurisdictions most threatened and most vulnerable to damage and loss associated with hazard event(s)?

§201.4(c)(2)(ii) Assessing Vulnerability to State Facilities (see element A)

Does the new or updated plan describe the types of State owned or operated critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas? §201.4(c)(2)(iii) Estimating Potential Losses by Jurisdiction (see element A)

3. Does the **new or updated** plan present an overview and analysis of the potential losses to the identified vulnerable structures?

§201.4(c)(2)(iii) Estimating Potential Losses of State Facilities (see element A)

4. Does the new or updated plan present an estimate of the potential dollar losses to State owned or operated buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities in the identified hazard areas?